Insulators in vertebrates: regulatory mechanisms and chromatin structure
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Insulators were first identified as genomic elements either blocking communication between promoters and enhancers (enhancer-blocking activity) or restricting heterochromatin spreading (barrier activity). There are several types of insulators in Drosophila which utilize different proteins. All insulators identified in vertebrates work with the help of the multifunctional transcription factor CTCF. Biological functions of vertebrate insulators are not clear yet. They are supposed to separate chromatin domains albeit there is almost none direct evidence of this fact. The most significant is the participation of insulators in maintenance of centers of imprinting (imprinting choice regions). The results of a number of recently published articles indicate that isolation of a gene by placement of this gene into a separate topological domain (loop) is crucial to establishing imprinting. In this particular case as well as in many other cases insulators serve as architectural elements supporting the three-dimensional structure of genome. Moreover, interaction between pairs of insulators where cohesin plays a pivotal role along with CTCF folds genome into various loops.
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Introduction. The term «insulator» stands for special genomic elements which provide functional isolation of different parts of genome. Classical insulators possess two different types of activities: enhancer-blocking (opposing the effect of enhancers on promoters placed behind insulators [1]) and barrier activity (preventing progressive spreading of histone covalent modifications in chromatin [2, 3]). At the same time there are deficient or incomplete insulators bearing only one type of the activities described above. Therefore, it is right to call them either enhancer-blocking or barrier elements. Unfortunately, not all authors follow this nomenclature. Consequently, in common literature the term «insulator» is frequently used for both enhancer-blocking elements without barrier activity and barrier elements lacking enhancer-blocking activity.
when two copies of it flank enhancer [5] (Fig. 1, B). This is the key difference between the enhancer-blocking element and silencer which on the contrary suppresses the enhancer activity despite its position relative to the effected gene. Along with enhancer-blocking activity SCS-elements possess barrier activity preventing the spreading of inactive chromatin domains (heterochromatin). A reporter gene surrounded by SCS-elements works normally even when the construct is integrated into the pericentromeric region [2].

The following research elucidated that genomes of all studied groups of eukaryotes from yeast to humans contain insulators (both complete as well as deficient with either enhancer-blocking or barrier activities) [6–10]. However, no consensus motives were identified inside the insulator sequences of different taxonomic groups [11].

**Insulator of the chicken β-globin gene domain.**

One of the most studied vertebrate insulators is the insulator located at the 5'-end of the locus control region (LCR) of the chicken β-globin gene domain which colocalizes with DNAse I hypersensitive site 4 (DHS4, see Fig. 2). This insulator is complete which means that it has both enhancer-blocking and barrier activities [12–15]. It was the first insulator to be identified in vertebrates. Its properties were comprehensively characterized with the help of transgenic experiments as well as transient transfection of both vertebrate and invertebrate cell lines. The minimal fragment of DNA possessing insulator activity (core element) and colocalizing with DHS4 is about 250 bp in size and it represents a CpG-island which has a remote structural resemblance to the promoters of house-keeping genes [16]. An additional fragment of 400 bp adjoining the core element at the 3'-end is required to display the full insulator activity [17]. Within the sequence of the minimal insulator five different protein binding sites were identified [16]. One of these sites (so called footprint II, FII in experiments of Reitman and Felsenfeld [18]) is necessary and sufficient for enhancer-blocking activity. This site binds the
multifunctional transcription factor CTCF [19]. Deletion of FII leads to the loss of enhancer-blocking activity of the insulator. The studies of the last few years show that cohesin as well as CTCF is indispensable for the activity of enhancer-blocking elements. The CTCF binding site overlaps with the site which binds cohesin. Knockdown of either CTCF or cohesin leads to the loss of enhancer-blocking activity of DHS4 [20]. It was demonstrated that direct physical interaction between CTCF and cohesin is needed for enhancer-blocking activity [21].

Barrier activity of the DHS4 insulator is retained after deletion of the CTCF binding site [19]. It means that barrier and enhancer-blocking activities are provided by different structural elements of the DHS4 insulator and that barrier activity is supported by proteins other than CTCF [14]. In particular barrier activity is performed with the help of protein USF1 (Fig. 3) which binds to insulators and recruits complexes of H3K4- and H4R3-specific histone methyltransferases, histone acetyltransferases and chromatin remodeling complexes [22, 23].

**Other vertebrate insulators.** CTCF-dependent enhancer-blocking elements were identified in human and murine β-globin gene domains. They are located approximately in the same place as in the chicken β-globin gene domain i.e. at the 5'-end of the LCR and in the flanking region of the cluster of β-globin genes at the 3'-end [24–26]. In another work it was shown that the 5'-insulator of the human β-globin gene domain is capable of transgene protection against position effects. It means that like 5'-DHS4 of the chicken β-globin gene domain this insulator bears enhancer-blocking and at the same time barrier activity [27]. In a number of works CTCF-dependent enhancer-blocking elements were reported to play a pivotal role in maintenance and support of imprinting in the Igf2/H19 locus [28, 29] and in other imprinted loci of the murine genome [30, 31]. CTCF-dependent enhancer-blocking elements and full-fledged insulators were detected also in a number of genomic domains in different vertebrates [32, 33] and in humans [34].

In the human genome certain tRNA genes [35] and some repeated genomic elements [36–38] are able to display insulator activity along with well-characterized CTCF-dependent insulators.

Further studies of these new types of insulators can substantially enlarge our scope of knowledge of the functional organization of eukaryotic genome.

**Mechanism of action of CTCF-dependent enhancer-blocking elements.** Though by now enhancer-blocking elements have been studied for quite a long period of time the mechanism of their action remains unknown. This lack of information is largely attributed to the fact that the mechanism of enhancer activity so far as well is only a subject for discussion. There are at least...
three most popular models which though cannot be considered as alternative. One of these models postulates that enhancer with associated proteins physically interacts with promoter facilitating the preinitiation complex formation and/or driving one of the following stages of initiation of productive transcription. Meanwhile enhancer and promoter are pulled together with the help of free or this-or-that way guided diffusion through the nucleoplasm. This model is confirmed first of all with the data obtained using chromosome conformation capture technique (3C). Indeed, it was demonstrated in a number of model systems that enhancer and the corresponding activated promoter or a group of promoters belong to the same complex. Such complexes were called active chromatin hubs [39–41]. The second model [42] states that enhancer is pulled to the promoter through tracking along the linker part of a chromatin strip. RNA-polymerase II may play the role of a molecular motor in this case. And finally the third model claims that enhancer recruits transcription factors and RNA-polymerase II which are then transferred to the promoter [43]. In all three cases an enhancer-blocking element can play a role of a peculiar «trap». Particularly it can substitute a promoter providing an alternative platform for enhancer binding. As a result an alternative loop is formed (enhancer-insulator) [44]. In the same way insulator can substitute a promoter via binding transcription factors and RNA-polymerase II which moves along the chromosome from enhancer to promoter [45]. Thereby, it’s appropriate to recall that the well-studied insulator from the chicken β-globin gene domain is found within the CpG island which has a certain structural similarity to the promoters of house-keeping genes [16]. Other insulators also have much in common with promoters [46].

Eukaryotic insulators with CTCF-mediated activity can interact with each other supporting the complicated three-dimensional chromatin organization (see below the section «Role of insulators in supporting the three-dimensional genome organization»). Interaction between two insulators can place a gene into a separate chromatin loop which in turn in a number of cases (depending on the loop size) can block enhancer-promoter interactions [47]. For example, such interactions of several CTCF-binding elements inside of the murine imprinted Igf2/H19 loci (Fig. 4) place the Igf2 gene into a separate loop tearing the connection between the promoter of this gene and the remote enhancer [48]. In classical experiments demonstrating enhancer-blocking activity of SCS elements from Drosophila special genetic constructs were used containing one SCS element placed between enhancer and promoter. Herewith P element was used as a vector providing single-copy integration of each construct into ectopic genomic positions. In case of eukaryotic cells the easiest way to pla-
Barrier elements prevent spreading of whatever processive chromatin modifications which lead to formation of both active and inactive chromatin domains. Notwithstanding this fact in the majority of experiments only the ability of barrier elements to block so-called position effects was tested. Position effects consist in the suppression of transgene expression when integrated into some heterochromatin region. Classical constitutive heterochromatin is maintained with the help of H3K9-histone methyltransferase and Hp1 heterochromatin protein. Processive spreading of heterochromatin domain is due to di- and trimethylation of K9 of histone H3 recruiting Hp1 protein which in turn recruits H3K9-histone methyltransferase to modify H3 histone in adjacent nucleosomes [49]. For a certain period of time barrier elements were believed to be a kind of a passive obstacle on the way of heterochromatin domain spreading («traffic jam» model). Most of the described insulators colocalize with DNase I hypersensitive sites which represent nucleosome-free regions. The sole presence of these nucleosome-free regions could itself prevent the described above processive heterochromatin spreading. Moreover, binding of histone methyltransferase suppressor proteins to these regions might as well be an extra restriction to spreading of heterochromatin [50, 51]. Still it is clear at present that the mechanism of barrier element activity is more complex. The analysis of distribution of the modified forms of histones in different chromatin domains elucidated the fact that the high level of histone acetylation is typical for insulators regardless of the transcription status of the adjacent genomic domains [52]. In ectopic positions insulators produce local domains of hyperacetylated histones [53]. Therefore, the idea of an insulator as just a passive element ceasing any signal transduction («traffic jam» model) does not fit the reality. In practice insulators are spots of nucleation where different enzymatic complexes which are needed for chromatin remodeling and histone modification are assembled. Hyperacetylation of histones H3 and H4 and methylation of K4 of H3 histone are observed in the region of 5'-insulator (DHS4) of the chicken \( \beta \)-globin gene domain at all stages of development including the nuclei of elythroid precursors (CFU-E) where transcription of globin genes is not yet activated [54]. Factor USF1 was proved to be indispensable for the onset and further support of these epigenetic modifications in the insulator region [22, 55]. This factor recruits chromatin remodeling complexes (NURF) (Fig. 3) and enzymes introducing histone modifications typical for the active chromatin sites (hSET1, SET7/9, CBP, p300) [23].

Barrier elements possess one more important activity. They preclude de novo DNA methylation on a promoter coupled to a barrier element [56]. It withdraws the effect of repression imposed by DNA methylation and subsequent binding of the repressor complex Mi2/NuRD for transgenes that lack an insulator [53]. This activity has connection neither to histone acetylation nor to transcription and in case of DHS4 of the chicken \( \beta \)-globin gene domain is provided by the ability of the insulator to recruit protein VEZF-1 which binding sites do not overlap with those of CTCF and USF1 [56]. This activity accounts for the property of insulators to maintain stable expression of transgenes in tissues of transgenic animals. Modern technology for transgenic animal production aids tandem integration of a great number of copies of a transgene-bearing genetic construct into the genome [57]. Like the repeated elements of the genome itself tandem transgene copies are inactivated over time via DNA methylation which inevitably leads to a dramatic decrease of the transgene expression or even to its complete repression. Insertion of insulators into genetic constructs suppresses this effect.

Role of insulators in supporting the three-dimensional genome organization. It has become quite obvious lately that despite the discussed above barrier and enhancer-blocking activities insulators also play an important role in supporting the three-dimensional structure of genome appearing as specific architectural elements. Interactions between remote insulators lead to chromatin loop formation. In these interactions CTCF
and cohesion play a pivotal role [58–61]. Chromatin looping can lead to various consequences. As it has been described previously a gene placed inside such a loop can be inaccessible for the corresponding activating enhancer. On the other hand, chromatin looping provided by two interacting insulators can assist direct physical contact of promoters and enhancers resulting in transcription activation. This phenomenon has long been known for Drosophila (see [62, 63] for review). There are many reports describing interactions of insulators (CTCF binding sites) which place promoters and enhancers in closer proximity facilitating thereby formation of activator complexes in vertebrates [64–71]. In other cases, as for example in the human Hox gene cluster, the three-dimensional organization of genome supported by CTCF-dependent insulators is essential for gene repression [72]. Participation of insulators in genome 3D structure maintenance can consist also in providing appropriate localization of different genes in certain nuclear compartments, particularly in prilamellar compartment [65, 73] which leads to gene repression [74].

**The biological role of insulators.** After all that has been said about insulators earlier in previous sections the very statement of question about the biological role of insulators might seem a bit surprising. Nonetheless, this question remains quite topical as insulators possess a wide range of biological activities and many of them were tested in model experiments (expression of a reporter gene in some ectopic genomic position). The question of whether these activities are retained in normal genomic positions remains at issue. Among the most studied and well-characterized vertebrate insulators are those at the 5'- and the 3'-ends of the β-globin gene cluster. The role of the enhancer-blocking element at the 5'-end of the locus control region is still quite obscure due to the fact that many regulatory elements forming the active chromatin hub at the β-globin gene cluster are located behind this enhancer-blocking element [75, 76]. Similar facts and observations also bring to question the role of the barrier element. Indeed, in murine erythroid cells the region preferably sensitive to DNAse I considerably exceeds in size the β-globin gene cluster enclosed by insulators. It includes a number of genes of olfactory receptors located in flanking regions at the 5'- and the 3'-ends [77, 78]. In this context the fact that direct deletion of both insulators flanking the murine β-globin gene domain has no evident biological consequences is not in the least surprising [79, 80]. At the present time there are no methods for the hole-genome analysis of the distribution of enhancer-blocking and barrier elements. The only data available for the analysis thereby is the distribution of CTCF binding sites in the genome. From 15000 to 25000 CTCF-binding sites were identified in different cell types of which 45 % were located inside the intergenic regions and 30 % were located inside the gene borders [81, 82]. Comparison of genomic distribution of CTCF-binding sites and the distribution of different histone modifications led to the conclusion that a special class of CTCF-binding sites exists which colocalize with the border regions of chromatin domains [83]. The significance of this observation is not clear yet as CTCF is not at all indispensable for the activity of barrier elements [19].

The most sustained and clear function of insulators so far is imprinting maintenance [84]. In this case the most crucial is the ability of insulators to fold chromatin into loops [60, 85–87]. Other cases where the biological role of insulators is well-understood always keep to chromatin loop formation [66, 71, 88, 89]. Therefore, it is quite possible that the main function of vertebrate insulators is to support the three-dimensional architecture of the genome.
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Исследователи: регуляторные механизмы и структура хроматину

Разоме

Исследователи було відкликано як геномні елементи, здатні перериваю

ть з'єднання промоторів і енхансерів (активність, яка блокує функціонування енхансера), та обмежувати поширення хроматину (бар'єрна активність). У дрібниці існує декілька типів інсульторів, які працюють із залученням різних білків. Всі опи

сани інсультори у відповідь працюють за участі багатофункціо

нального транскрипційного фактора CTCF. Біологічні функції інсульторів відносять не до кінця з'єднання. Хоча багато хто вважає,
що вони розмежовують хроматинові домени, прямих свідчень цьому практично немає. Найпохабнішу є участь інсуляторів у роботі центрів встановлення імпринтингу (imprinting choice regions). Результати низки недавно опублікованих робіт свідчать про те, що для встановлення імпринтингу суттєвим є вбудування накитованого гена в окремий топологічний домен (петлю). В цьому та в багатьох інших випадках інсулятори практують як архітектурні елементи, які підтримують прямокутну організацію геному. Взаємодія між параметрами інсуляторів, у яких поряд з CTCF іс- тотну роль відіграє коецзії, організує геном у різного роду петлі.

Ключові слова: хроматиновий домен, бар'єрний елемент, ен- хансер-блокуючий елемент, CTCF, імпринтинг.
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Инсулятори позвоночних животных: регуляторные механизмы и структура хроматина.

Резюме

Инсуляторы были открыты как геномные элементы, способные прерывать связь между промотором и энхансером (энхансер-блокирующая активность) и ограничивать распространение ге- терохроматина (барьерная активность). В дрозофиле существует несколько типов инсуляторов, работающих посредством привлечения различных белков. Все описанные инсуляторы у позвоночных животных работают при участии многофункциональ- ного транскрипционного фактора CTCF. Биологические функции инсуляторов позвоночных животных не вполне ясны. Хотя прямых свидетельств этому практически нет, наиболее показателем является участие инсуляторов в работе центров уста- новления импринтинга (imprinting choice regions). Результаты ряда недавно опубликованных работ свидетельствуют о том, что для установления импринтинга существенным является встраивание инактивированного гена в отдельный топологический домен (петлю). В этом и многих других случаях инсуляторы рабо- тают в качестве архитектурных элементов, поддерживающих трекерную организацию генома. Взаимодействие между пара- ми инсуляторов, в котором наряду с CTCF значительную роль иг- рает коецзії, организует геном в различных рода петлі.

Ключевые слова: хроматиновий домен, бар'єрний елемент, энхансер-блокуючий елемент, CTCF, імпринтинг.

REFERENCES


33. Zhong X. P., Krangel M. S. Enhancer-blocking activity within the DNase I hypersensitive site 2 to 6 region between the TCR alpha and Dad1 genes // J. Immunol.–1999.–163, N 1.–P. 295–300.


59. Hadjiur S., Williams L. M., Ryan N. K., Cobb B. S., Sexton T., Fra- 
ser P., Fisher A. G. Merkenschlager M. Cohesins form chromo-
matic cis-interactions at the developmentally regulated IGF
60. Nativio R., Wendt K. S., Ito Y., Huddleston J. E., Uribe-Levis S., 
Woodfine K., Krueger C., Reik W., Peters J. M., Murrell A. 
Cohesin is required for higher-order chromatin conformation 
e1000739.
61. Majumder P., Gomez J. A., Chadwick B. P., Boss J. M. The insu-
lator factor CTCF controls MHC class II gene expression and is 
required for the formation of long-distance chromatin interac-
62. Yang J., Corecs V. G. Chromatin insulators: a role in nuclear or-
P. 43–76.
63. Yang J., Corecs V. G. Insulators, long-range interactions, and 
P. 79–85.
64. Krivega I., Dean A. Enhancer and promoter interactions-long 
P. 86–92.
C. W., Ye C., Ping J. L., Mulawadi F., Wong E., Sheng J., Zhang 
Y., Poh T., Chan C. S., Kunarro G., Shahab A., Bourgue G., Ca-
cheux-Rataboul V., Sung W. K., Ruan Y., Wei C. L. CTCF-me-
diated functional chromatin interactome in pluripotent cells // 
66. Mishiro T., Ishihara K., Hino S., Tsutsumi S., Aburatani H., Shi-
rahige K., Kinoshita Y., Nakao M. Architectural roles of multiple 
chromatin insulators at the human apolipoprotein gene cluster 
67. Hou C., Dale R., Dean A. Cell type specificity of chromatin or-
68. Majumder P., Boss J. M. Cohesin regulates MHC class II genes 
through interactions with MHC class II insulators // J. Immu-
69. Majumder P., Boss J. M. CTCF controls expression and chro-
matin architecture of the human major histocompatibility com-
4223.
70. Chien R., Zeng W., Kawauuchi S., Bender M. A., Santos R., Greg-
song H. C., Schmiesing J. A., Newkirk D. A., Kong X., Ball A. R., 
Calof A. L., Lander A. D., Groudine M. T., Yokomori K. Cohesin 
mediates chromatin interactions that regulate mammalian beta-
globin expression // J. Biol. Chem.–2011.–286, N 20.–P. 17870– 
17878.
71. Sekimata M., Perez-Melgos M., Miller S. A., Weimann A. S., 
Sabo P. J., Sandstrom R., Dorschiner M. O., Stamatoiyannopoulos 
J. A., Wilson C. B. CCCTC-binding factor and the transcription 
factor T-box orchestrate T helper 1 cell-specific structure and 
function at the interferon-gamma locus // Immunity.–2009.–31, 
N 4.–P. 551–564.
72. Ferraiuolo M. A., Rousseau M., Miyamoto C., Shenker S., Wang 
X. Q., Nadler M., Blanchette M., Dostie J. The three-dimensio-
nal architecture of Hox cluster silencing // Nucleic Acids Res.– 
2010.–38, N 21.–P. 7472–7484.
73. Ottaviani A., Schluth-Bolard C., Rival-Gervier S., Boussauar A., 
Rondier D., Foerster A. M., Morejé J., Bauwens S., Gazzo S., 
Callet-Bauchu E., Gilson E., Magdiner F. Identification of a peri-
nuclear positioning element in human subtelomeres that re-
quires A-type lamins and CTCF // EMBO J.–2009.–28, N 16.– 
P. 2428–2436.
regression mediated by repositioning of genes to the nuclear la-
75. Tolhuis B., Palstra R. J., Splinter E., Grosveld F., de Laat W. 
Looping and interaction between hypersensitive sites in the ac-
76. Razin S. V., Farrell C. M., Recillas-Targa F. Genomic domains and regulatory elements operating at the domain level // Int. Rev. 
77. Bulger M., Bender M., Farrell C., Felsenfeld G., Wertime B., Groudine M., Hardison R. Open chromatin domain of mamma-
P. 490.
78. Bulger M., Bender M. A., van Doorninck J. H., Wertime B., Far-
rell C. M., Felsenfeld G., Groudine M., Hardison R. C. Compara-
tive structural and functional analysis of the olfactory receptor 
genes flanking the human and mouse beta-globin gene clusters 
79. Bender M. A., Byron R., Ragoczy T., Telling A., Bulger M., 
Groudine M. Flanking HS-62.5 and 3' HS1, and regions up-
stream of the LCR, are not required for beta-globin transcription 
80. Bender M. A., Reik A., Close J., Telling A., Epner E., Fiering S., 
Hardison R., Groudine M. Description and targeted deletion of 5' 
hypersensitive site 5 and 6 of the mouse beta-globin locus control 
D. I., Green R. D., Zhang M. Q., Lobanenkov V. V., Ren B. Ana-
lysis of the vertebrate insulator protein CTCF-binding sites in the 
82. Jothi R., Cuddapah S., Barski A., Cui K., Zhao K. Genome-wide 
identification of in vivo protein-DNA binding sites from ChIP-
Global analysis of the insulator binding protein CTCF in chro-
matin barrier regions reveals demarcation of active and repres-
84. Ideraabdullah F. Y., Vigneau S., Bartolomei M. S. Genomic imprinting 