Hydration change on complexation of aromatic ligands with DNA: molecular dynamics simulations
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Aim. Analysis of the hydration changes at formation of DNA complexes with biologically active aromatic compounds (BAC): antibiotics actinomycin D, daunomycin, nogalamycin, novantrone and mutagens ethidium bromide and proflavine. Methods. Molecular dynamics simulations. Results. The hydration indexes for double-helical DNA and ligands in a free and complexed states were calculated. A critical analysis of modern ideas about changing water environment at binding of aromatic ligands to DNA was performed. Conclusions. It is shown that upon binding of aromatic BAC with DNA a significant (from 2.6 for novantrone to 13.1 for actinomycin D) liberation of water molecules out of hydration shells with the disruption of hydrogen bonds takes place.
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Introduction. Biologically active aromatic compounds (BAC) are widely used in chemotherapy of various diseases [1]. Medical and biological effect of the majority of BAC is based on their intercalation into nucleic acids and subsequent inhibition of the processes of replication and transcription of DNA and RNA in cells [1].

As the reactions of complexation of BAC with DNA and RNA occur in water environments, the interaction with water molecules (hydration) has considerable influence on their course. There is a lot of fundamental data (e.g. [2]) on the contribution of water environments into the stabilization of secondary structures of nucleic acids; however, the recent scientific literature still displays considerable interest to this issue. There are at least two explanations hereof for the class of DNA-binding BAC. Firstly, there is growing number of reports, evidencing that water environment may act as a regulator of specificity of ligand binding to DNA. The examples are an allosteric effect during binding of the antibiotic actinomycin D to
DNA due to participation of water molecules [3]; destabilization of biologically vital DNA hairpins during ligand binding, caused by the change in structure of intramolecular water bridges [4]; considerably different hydration profiles of two similar antibiotics, daunomycin and doxorubicin, supposedly responsible for difference in their biological activity [5], etc.

The second explanation of the increased interest to the contribution of water environment during binding of low-molecular compounds to DNA is the fact that current views on the role of hydration for this class of ligands are rather contradictory. Two contradictory opinions are stated in the literature concerning the changes in hydration during complexation of ligands and DNA. The first opinion is based on an assumption that the complexation is accompanied with release of some water molecules bound to DNA (see review [6]). The second one is vice versa stating uptake of water molecules upon complexation (see review [7]). Such discrepancy of opinions does not allow a final conclusion about a role of water environment in complexation of ligands with DNA.

In the present work molecular dynamics (MD) simulation was used to calculate hydration upon binding of six BAC – DNA intercalators – to DNA, namely: anti-tumour antibiotics actinomycin D (AMD), daunomycin (DAU), nogalamycin (NOG), novantrone (NOV), and mutagens ethidium bromide (EB) and proflavine (PF) (Fig.1). The application of results, obtained in this research and in the previous work [8], makes it possible to analyze the abovementioned discrepancy.

**Methods.** Spatial structures of complexes. Self-complementary decamer d(GCGTCGACGC)_2 was used as a model duplex of DNA both in the present work and previously [6, 8]. The spatial structure of DNA decamer, corresponding to B-form, was constructed using HyperChem 8.0. software. On intercalation of ligands their chromophores are inserted into the central CpG-site of the duplex. The spatial structures of investigated ligands were taken from Protein Data Bank [9]. The parameters of atoms and atom-atom interactions between DNA and ligands correspond to the AMBER force field for nucleic acids. The atomic charges and initial structures of the complexes are taken from the work [10].

The explicit account of water environment was performed using water molecules of the TIP3P model, placed into a cubic box with the edge length of 0.35 nm (1423 molecules). Neutralization of charges of DNA phosphates was conducted by 18 Na⁺ ions, placed 0.6 nm away from the atoms of phosphorus on bisectors of the angles O1P-O2P. Optimization of geometry of ligand-DNA complexes was conducted via minimization of potential energy by the method of conjugate gradients.

**Molecular dynamics.** MD calculation of ligands, DNA and their complexes in the process of thermal motion was performed using X-PLOR 3.1 software [11]. After minimization of potential energy, the MD procedure was conducted according to Verlet’s algorithm with the time step Δt = 2 fs and SHAKE algorithm at constant temperature T = 298 K. The external water shell was fixed while modeling to prevent release of water molecules into vacuum. Free (non-fixed) water layer corresponded to the thickness of the adjacent hydration shell, i.e. to the bimolecular layer of 0.4 nm [12]. The total time of evolution was 2 ns. The coordinates of all atoms were registered every 1 ps.

**Hydrogen bonds with water.** The averaged numbers of water molecules, forming hydrogen bonds with hydrophilic atoms (N, O) of DNA and BAC molecules, were calculated via trajectories of thermal motion during the last 40 ps of MD simulations. The presence of a hydrogen bond was registered if distances between electronegative atoms of molecules and atoms of oxygen (hydrogen) of water did not exceed 0.32 (0.24) nm, respectively [13]. Both types of forming H-bond between the water molecule and dissolved substance were considered, namely, the one with the participation of atom of water hydrogen and water oxygen as a donor, and the other - with the participation of atom of DNA hydrogen (ligand) and water oxygen as an acceptor [14].

**Quantitative evaluation of hydration.** In the present work the hydration degree of ligand, DNA and their complex (C) was evaluated according to hydration index \( N \), equal to the averaged number of water molecules, forming hydrogen bonds with hydrophilic atoms of the dissolved molecule in MD process. In this case a two-stage process of BAC intercalation into DNA was considered: local untwisting of DNA with
the formation of intercalation pocket (DNA*) and insertion of the ligand (L) into it [15]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{DNA} &> \text{DNA}; \\
\text{DNA* + L} &> C.
\end{align*}
\] (1)

Corresponding changes in hydration on the stages of untwisting \( \Delta N_u \) and insertion \( \Delta N_i \) and in the whole intercalation process \( \Delta N \) were evaluated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta N_u &= N_{\text{DNA*}} - N_{\text{DNA}}; \\
\Delta N_i &= N_{\text{DNA-C}} + N_{L-C} - N_{\text{DNA*}} - N_L; \\
\Delta N &= \Delta N_u + \Delta N_i,
\end{align*}
\] (2)

where \( N_{\text{DNA-C}} \) and \( N_{L-C} \) – hydration indices of DNA and ligand in the complex, respectively.

**Results and Discussion.** In the present work the hydration index \( N \), equal to the average number of water molecules, forming H-bonds with the hydrate-active groups of ligands, DNA or complexes in the process of system evolution in MD simulations, was used as a quantitative characteristic of the change in the nearest hydration layer upon complexation of aromatic ligands with DNA. The results of calculations of indices for all the considered ligands are presented in Table.

*Hydration of free ligands and DNA.* The hydration indices \( N_L \) of ligands in free state are in good correspondence with their sizes and number of hydrophilic centres (Table and Fig.2). For instance, the lowest \( N_L \) values are observed for small molecules of EB and PF, containing only two amine-groups each. Also the presence of an additional imino-group in PF with positively charged atom N+ conditioned rather higher value of \( N_L \). It should be noted that AMD molecule with two bulky penta-peptide rings, containing a large number of keto- and imino-groups, is even less hydrated in a free state than considerably smaller DAU molecule. It is possible that the mentioned AMD centres belonging to the penta-peptide rings are less accessible for contacts with water molecules than keto- and oxi-groups of DAU, protruding into the solution (Fig.1). According to the hydration degree, NOG and NOV molecules have intermediate position.
The data, presented in Table, evidence that the change in hydration index $N_{DNA}$ in the process of DNA untwisting is positive ($\Delta N_{u} > 0$), which is quite expected as it means uptake of water molecules. It is noteworthy that the mentioned increase in accessibility of hydrate-active groups for water molecules is also accompanied with the increase in the solvent-accessible surface area of untwisted DNA ($\Delta\text{SASA}$), $\Delta A_{\text{s}} > 0$, calculated in the work [8]. However, no vivid correlation between them was observed.

**Change in hydration at intercalation.** At the stage of insertion of all investigated ligands, the change in hydration index is negative $\Delta N_{i} < 0$, i.e. there is release of water molecules (Table). The highest dehydration of DNA duplex is caused by AMD and NOG molecules; this fact is related to considerable screening of DNA...
surface from water environment by their bulky side groups (Fig.3).

The overall change in hydration in the whole process of intercalation \( \Delta N \) is negative, which is another evidence to the release of water molecules (Table). Here a series of \( \Delta N \) is in good agreement with the stage of ligand insertion \( \Delta N_i \) \((r = 0.989)\), besides, there is evident correlation \((r = 0.91)\) between the values of \( \Delta N_i \) and changes in SASA upon insertion \( \Delta A_i \), calculated in [8].

The most important result, presented in Table, is the fact that the change in hydration index \( \Delta N \) of intercalation process turned out to be negative for all the investigated ligands without any exception, i.e. there is release of at least \( \Delta N \) water molecules upon binding. It should be noted that the obtained values \( N \) and \( \Delta N \) for binding DAU to DNA are in good quantitative agreement with the results of [16]. The hydration index is not an unambiguous measure of changes in the structure of hydration shell, as it evidently characterizes only hydration of the hydrate-active groups of investigated molecules. At the same time, according to the authors of [17], it is the most strongly-bound water molecules of the nearest hydration shell that determine experimentally measurable hydration parameters. The disruption of a hydration shell may be also characterized by changes in the molecule solvent-accessible surface area (\( \Delta SASA \)), which was analyzed in detail in [8] for the ligands under investigation. It was shown that \( \Delta SASA \) is a direct evidence of the decrease in hydration at intercalation. Therefore, two main factors, characterizing the change in water environment in both explicit (\( \Delta N \)) and implicit (\( \Delta SASA \)) forms, testify to.

Fig. 3. Hydration of ligands in the complexes with duplex DNA, calculated by molecular dynamics simulation: actinomycin D (a), daunomycin (b), ethidium bromide (c), nogalamycin (d), novantrone (e), and proflavine (f)
the release of water molecules upon intercalation. This conclusion is in good agreement with the results, obtained by other authors by methods of molecular simulation (for instance, see the works [15, 16, 18]).

The known experimental investigations on hydration change upon the formation of complexes of intercalators and nucleic acids may be divided conditionally into two main groups.

The first group of works was performed by volumetry (densimetry) methods [19–22] and infrared spectroscopy [18]. In all these works the authors come to the conclusion that upon the binding of DNA and aromatic ligand the complex hydration is less than that of the original components in free state, i.e. some amount (ΔN < 0) of water molecules of hydration shells is displaced to the solution. Thus, this result is in agreement with the conclusions, obtained in the present work and by other authors using molecular simulation.

The second group is based on the results of osmometry, first published by the authors of [5, 7] for EB, propidium iodide, DAU, doxorubicin, PF and AMD analogue, which have led to a surprising conclusion: the complex was hydrated more than its components in free state, i.e. upon complexation some water molecules are uptaken into the hydration shell of the complex from the solution. Therefore, ΔN > 0 for all the molecules (except EB). These results were confirmed by the osmometry method in earlier investigations on binding DNA to non-aromatic compounds – groove binders like netropsin [23] and DAPI [17, 23] – causing additional uptake, not release, of water in the complex. Parallel investigation of these and other types of groove binders using molecular dynamics simulation [24] and volumetry [19, 22] has led to an opposite result again – binding is accompanied with water displacement, not uptake. Therefore, the problem of hydration is beyond the class of solely aromatic compounds, it seems to be a general issue for ligand-DNA systems.

There are two points, which can be highlighted from the abovementioned analysis of the changes in water environment upon complexation of BAC and DNA.

1. None of the works, known to us, which were performed by two mutually exclusive methods, gave interpretation of the evident discrepancy, although in many cases authors acknowledge the very fact of discrepancy. The attempt of indirect interpretation was made in [17], where the authors assumed that methods of osmometry and volumetry measure bound water of different quality. In [21] the authors made an artificial adjustment of the results of volumetry and osmometry upon complexation excluding out of consideration the hydration of ligand itself (see Table 3 in work [21]) which is obviously incorrect. However, all this neither clears out the situation nor gives any reason to prefer one of these methods.

2. The conclusions on uptake of water molecules upon ligand binding result solely from the osmometry method and are not proven by any other available method. It brings an inevitable question: whether the results of osmometry may reflect the peculiarities of the measurement method itself, not the nature of the process? It would be appropriate to consider the only result, obtained by the osmometry method [3], when the water release was revealed instead of uptake upon binding AMD analogue to DNA, as this result is in agreement with both the data of the present work and the data obtained by other methods. The methodological part of this work was strongly criticized in [7], where the opposite result on water uptake upon AMD intercalation was obtained by the same method. In particular, the authors declare unsuitability of the two-site model for obtaining the complexation constants, that, according to their opinion, resulted in incorrect conclusion in [3]. We think that this statement is rather ambiguous, as the majority of investigated aromatic ligands demonstrate two types of binding to duplex DNA [25], moreover, the concentration ratio of complexes of different types may vary significantly in the presence of osmolytes. Leaving aside the details of this issue, we consider it noteworthy that the conclusions based on osmometry method might vary significantly depending on a priori assumed character of ligand binding to DNA in the model. This specificity is a considerable hindrance to the application of osmometry, as determination of the character of binding to DNA is usually an independent task.

In general, we believe that modern level of comprehension of the hydration parameters measured by both volumetry (densimetry) and osmometry gives no ground to prefer one of them. Nevertheless, the
analysis conducted allowed us to favour the concept of displacement of water upon complexation rather than water uptake, at least due to the fact that water release is confirmed by different independent methods of analysis. However, as far as we know there is one more feature of osmometry method not mentioned before.

The determination of character of changes in water environment upon complexation by osmometry method is based on the effect of decrease in water activity due to introduction of osmolytes (sucrose, betaine, etc.) The introduction of osmolytes is equivalent to change in water “concentration” that allows quantitative evaluation of the change in bound water, $\Delta N$ from the equation [7]

$$\frac{\partial \ln(K_s / K_0)}{\partial [\text{Osm}]} = -\frac{\Delta N}{55.5},$$

(3)

where $K_s$ and $K_0$ – constants of ligand complexation in the presence and absence of osmolyte; $[\text{Osm}]$ – osmolyte concentration.

In our opinion, the use of equation (3) for the analysis of change in hydration in processes of complexation is not correct because of the next reason. The introduction of osmolyte means that the system becomes a four-component one (water–osmolyte–DNA–ligand). The calculation of constant $K_s$ is usually conducted in the framework of the standard McGhee–von Hippel model, derived in the assumption of a three-component solution (water–DNA–ligand). If the water-osmolyte system is viewed as a one-component system (changed water with decreased activity), the application of McGhee-vonHippel’s model is really possible, but only in the assumption that the features of changed water remain the same in complexation process. However, it seems to us that it is not applicable in the standard osmometry method, utilized in the works [5, 7]. Osmolytes belong to the class of compounds, breaking water structure (see review [26]). It means that the process of either release or uptake of water upon ligand–DNA complexation will also depend on the concentration of osmolyte itself and the degree of water order close to it. In other words, $K_s$ parameter in (3) indirectly contains just entropic contribution from water–osmolyte interaction; therefore, $\Delta N$ also contains information about the change in water environment both at formation of ligand–DNA complex and in environment of the osmolyte itself. In simpler interpretation this effect comes from the fact that in order to find $\Delta N$ parameter in a four-component system the equation (3) has $K_s$ parameter, measured pertaining to the three-component system, which is not methodologically correct. It is noteworthy that while justifying osmometry method, the authors of [5] refer to an analogical and generally recognized way of determining ion contribution into the processes of complexation, based on the expression, similar to (3), and using titration of ion concentration. Ions of metals do not create a cavity like osmolytes do, i.e. they do not change water structure to the same degree, as osmolytes, therefore, this method is quite appropriate for ion contribution, but incorrect for osmometry.

Thus, the fundamental conclusion of this work is justification of the fact of release of water molecules upon binding of aromatic ligands to DNA, and the suggestion on inappropriateness of using osmometry method in the analysis of changes in water environment in reactions of complexation. This conclusion eliminates principal contradiction in literature data pertaining to the role of water in the binding of ligands to DNA.
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Изменение гидратации при комплексообразовании ароматических лигандов с ДНК: моделирование методом молекулярной динамики

Резюме

Цель. Исследование изменения гидратации при образовании комплексов с ДНК ароматических биологически активных соединений (БАС): антибиотиков актиномицина D, дауномицина, нодаламицина, новантрона и муэтагене бромистого этидия и профлавина. Методы. Молекулярная динамика. Результаты. Вычислены гидратационные индексы для двуспиральной ДНК и лиганда в свободном состоянии и в составе комплексы. Проведен критический анализ современных представлений об изменении водного окружения при связывании с ДНК ароматических лигандов. Выводы. Показано, что при взаимодействии ароматических БАС с ДНК происходит значительное (от 2,6 для новантрона до 13,1 для актиномицина D) высвобождение молекул воды гидратных оболочек с разрывом водородных связей.

Ключевые слова: двуспиральная ДНК, ароматический лиганд, гидратационный индекс, высвобождение воды.
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Зміна гідрацатії при комплексоутворенні ароматичних лігандах з ДНК: моделювання методом молекулярної динаміки

Резюме

Мета. Дослідження зміни гідрацатії при утворенні комплексів з ДНК ароматичними біологічно активними сполуками (БАС): антибіотиками, актиноміцин D, яруніцин, нозаміцин, новантрон і мутагенів бромістої етідій і профлайну.

Методи. Молекулярна динаміка. Результати. Обчислена гідрацатійний індекс дин ароматичних лігандах у вільному стані та у склад С комплексу. Проведено критичний аналіз сучасних уявлень щодо зміни водного оточення при з'єднанні з ДНК ароматичних лігандах.

Висновки. Показано, що при взаємодії ароматичних БАС з ДНК відбувається значне (від 2,6 для новантрону до 13,1 для актиноміцину D) зв'язування молекул води гідрацатних оболонок з розривом водених з'єднань

Ключові слова: двостірковна ДНК, ароматичний ліганд, гідрацатійний індекс, зв'язування води.
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