

Reviews

<http://dx.doi.org/10.7124/bc.000B11>
UDC 606:577.21

O.D. Hlazunova^{1,2}, N.S. Melnichuk¹

¹ Institute of Molecular Biology and Genetics, NAS of Ukraine
150, Akademika Zabolotnoho Str., Kyiv, Ukraine, 03143

² Educational and Scientific Center "Institute of Biology and Medicine"
of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv
2, Akademika Hlushkova Ave., Kyiv, Ukraine, 03022
hlazunovaolha@gmail.com

ASPECTS OF GENE EXPRESSION ANALYSIS IN SMALL-VOLUME BLOOD SAMPLES USING THE REAL-TIME PCR

The gene expression profiling of peripheral blood leukocytes is a valuable method for assessing disease-associated and drug-response related genes. Small-volume blood samples can be used for gene expression analysis in biomarker discovery, pre-clinical animal research for drug development, neonatal and pediatric studies, and therapeutic drug monitoring. However, the reduced RNA quantity and handling procedures of small-volume samples complicate gene expression analysis using the quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction. In this article, we systematized and compared the RNA isolation and molecular genetic techniques to disclose optimized strategies for the gene expression analysis of small-volume blood samples and discuss the gene expression studies in capillary blood samples.

Keywords: *small-volume blood sample, RT-qPCR, gene expression, capillary blood.*

Introduction

Leukocytes are one of the main components of blood and constitute an accessible source of clinically relevant data through specific reactions to the exposure to infectious agents, allergens, tumors, transplants, or autoimmune disorders [1–3]. The

gene expression analysis of blood samples reflects differential patterns of gene regulation by assessing the matrix (m)RNA levels in the circulating leukocytes [1, 4–10].

In recent years, the reduction of analytical volumes of biological samples has played a crucial role in various biomedical domains [11–13], particu-

Citation: Hlazunova O.D., Melnichuk N.S. (2025) Aspects of gene expression analysis in small-volume blood samples using the real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Biopolymers & Cell*, 1(41), 3–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7124/bc.000B11>
© Publisher PH "Akademperiodyka" of the NAS of Ukraine, 2025. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

larly in gene expression analysis [14–24]. For example, smaller blood volumes facilitate the development of test systems for gene expression analysis in capillary blood samples that can be conveniently collected from various sources (e.g., finger, earlobe tip, or heel) with inexpensive instruments. It can be used for disease diagnosis and health monitoring, particularly for children, patients with coagulation disorders, or in studies where multiple blood sampling is required to describe changes in biomarker levels over time [16, 17, 19]. This led to the need of development of optimized approaches to obtain RNA samples from micro volumes of whole blood without disturbing the transcript patterns induced by sampling, extraction, purification, or quantification methods.

Once RNA samples of sufficient quality and quantity have been obtained, gene expression analysis can be performed to identify differential patterns of gene regulation [1, 4–10]. Among the various methods available, quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) is one of the primary approaches to process small-volume blood samples [1, 4–10]. However, several articles reported that gene expression analysis can be challenging due to the limited number of mRNAs in reduced-volume samples, resulting in misleading shifts of estimated mRNA levels [14, 17, 20].

This review summarizes the most common methods of RNA isolation and quantification for gene expression analysis in small-volume blood samples. It includes a discussion of various ways to optimize RNA isolation from small-volume blood samples, which can allow producing high-purity and integrity RNA and subsequent accurate and reproducible quantification data using RT-qPCR.

RNA Isolation Techniques from Small Volumes of Blood

Any quantitative gene expression analysis aims to isolate a sufficient amount of RNA, avoid its degradation and contamination (e.g., DNA that can lead to overestimation of transcript levels; inhibitors of

the reverse transcription and qPCR steps; nucleases for long-term storage), and to facilitate the accurate determination of the pattern of genes expressed under specific circumstances [18, 20, 25–27]. *Table 1* lists the principles and advantages/disadvantages of the most popular methods used to isolate RNA.

Small- [20, 21], or sometimes low-volume [17, 22] blood samples are referred to the samples with significantly reduced volumes (generally ranging from 15 to 300 μ L) compared with the traditional whole blood samples of 2.5 to 6 mL, which require peculiar methods of extraction of sufficiently concentrated, intact RNA and gene expression analysis [14–22]. RNA extraction from reduced sample volumes is associated with several complications, including higher susceptibility of small-volume samples to temperature fluctuations and delays in processing, resulting in RNA degradation, lower yields, and unwanted contamination (e.g., DNA, lysing agents, salts, protein, ethanol) and therefore impairing sample performance during gene expression analysis [14–22]. Organic extraction followed by spin-column or magnetic beads adsorption methods are currently the most efficient techniques for extracting sufficiently concentrated and intact RNA. They can be done by scaling down and optimizing standard procedures for total RNA isolation using commercial standardized kits [14–23, 28].

Several surfactant-based (tetradecyltrimethylammonium oxalate and tartaric acid in PAXgene Blood RNA System [14, 16, 20]) and chaotropic agent-based (guanidine hydrochloride in Tempus RNA stabilization reagent [10, 15, 17, 18, 21]; phenol and guanidine thiocyanate in TrioMol [19], TriFast [22] and QIAzol [23] reagents) methods were reported to be able to effectively lyse the cells as well as protect RNA from degradation by both endogenous found in blood and exogenous RNases [30]. Rinchai *et al.* stated vigorous mixing of blood samples with lysing agents (proportionally reduced in volume) immediately after collection as the crucial step for maximizing RNA yield during the extraction [15]. Speake *et al.* compared different mixing methods with 15 μ L blood samples

when collected with a micropipette tube and found little impact on RNA quality when tubes were flicked (the RNA integrity number was an average of 7.6), pipetted (6.2) or vortexed (7.7), but was significantly reduced when samples were not mixed at all (4.3) [17]. Thorough homogenization, prolongation of incubation time with lysing agents [14, 20], and optimization of phase separation efficiency (by addition of chloroform when performing organic extraction [22, 23, 28]) are also beneficial.

Modifications of RNA purification include performing a DNase treatment step [16, 22], adding several RNA washing steps (using ice-cold isopropanol and methanol [22] or 75% ethanol [23]), dry spin [28], preheating [28] and using minimum effective (for operating equipment) volumes of the solvent.

Demonstrating the isolation of high-integrity total RNA from microlitre volumes of mouse whole blood Krawiec *et al.* suggested a proportional reduction of the buffer solution volumes

Table 1. Characteristics of common RNA isolation methods

Method	Principle	Advantages	Disadvantages	References
Organic extraction by the guanidinium-thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform / Trizol	2 phases are formed: the upper aqueous phase containing RNA, a ring of denatured proteins at the interphase, and the lower organic phase with cell debris	One of the most common methods; high RNA yield	It takes more time compared to other methods; the use of toxic organic solvents	[19, 22, 28]
Traditional salting-out methods	Selective RNA precipitation in a reaction mixture of high ionic strength, usually by lithium chloride (LiCl)	A fast and simple method for mRNA precipitation with minimal content of free nucleotides	It is not efficient for processing samples with low RNA concentration	[28]
Density gradient centrifugation	RNA migrates in a narrow density gradient made up by the dissociated solvent molecules (usually — cesium chloride (CsCl)) to the point with a similar density	It is used to isolate intact DNA-free RNA from various biological samples	The use of toxic solvents (CsCl) and mutagens (ethidium bromide (EtBr))	[28, 29]
Spin-column adsorption	RNA immobilization on the solid-phased spin-columns of different materials (e.g. silica, glass, or polyethylene fibers) under specific circumstances	One of the most common methods; takes less time; prevents cross-contamination; possibility of automation and optimization; high RNA yield	Additional steps to remove residual ethanol from the column before elution	[15, 18, 20, 21, 28]
Magnetic beads adsorption	RNA immobilization on the surface of magnetic particles in an external magnetic field	A fast environmentally friendly method; possibility of automation; high RNA yield	The surface of magnetic beads must be free from any contaminants; the magnetic field must be applied uniformly and be varied gradually	[17, 10, 28]

added to the spin-column or the magnetic beads system [14]. Thus an average of 2.3 μg of total RNA (or 50 μg for each mL of blood) was yielded from 50 μL of mouse blood when using the spin-column method [14]. However, the study of Robison *et al.* showed that using the standard volumes of solutions had no effect on the yields and was easier to employ [16]. Moreover, inappropriate volumes of working solutions can impair a sufficient binding to the column or magnetic beads and purification of target RNAs [15–18].

In the protocol of total RNA isolation from human fingerstick blood samples using the spin-column method, Robison *et al.* suggested using a SpeedVac centrifugal vacuum concentrator to concentrate the RNA volume to sufficient amounts for cDNA synthesis and qPCR [16]. In this manner, an average of 255.7 ng of total RNA (or 3.7 μg for each mL of blood) was extracted from 70 μL of whole blood that was collected by a fingerstick, whereas 6 μg of total RNA (or 2.4 μg for each mL of blood) was extracted from 2.5 mL of whole blood collected by traditional venipuncture samples [16]. However, this method can lead to considerable RNA degradation [31].

The noticeably lower yield of RNA from small-volume blood samples utilizing the methods by Robison *et al.* (3.7 μg for each mL of blood) [16] and Kalikiri *et al.* (11.6 μg (manual workflow) and 8.5 μg (automated workflow) for each mL of blood) [10] compared with the results obtained by Krawiec *et al.* (50 μg for each mL of blood) [14] and Fricano *et al.* (from 21.0 to 79.4 μg for each mL of blood) [24] most likely comes from the differences in mouse and human blood [32].

Because of RNAs instability *in vitro*, the additional steps of quantitative and qualitative assessment, in particular gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometry, respectively, are required at the end of any RNA isolation protocol [26]. While working with the low concentrations of the material analyzed and the limited sample volume that can be disposed of for the quality control measurement it is important to use equipment specifically designed for the analysis of low-concentration

samples in micro volumes (e.g., microvolume spectrophotometer at the ultraviolet and visible light spectrum (UV-VIS) [10, 16, 19, 22], spectrofluorometer with a fluorescent RNA-binding dye, capillary gel electrophoresis system [18, 20], *etc.*).

Thus, RNA isolation from small volumes of blood can be challenged by the low content of RNAs in the samples and, therefore, it is necessary to modify the handling procedures [10, 14–22]. Several optimization points of spin-column and magnetic bead adsorption methods have been identified, maximizing the RNA yield and ensuring its quality and stability [10, 14–23, 28]. However, further systematic analysis is needed to develop a universal RNA isolation protocol reliable for small-volume blood samples. Comparative studies of developed protocols have to be performed to indicate the most effective steps in RNA isolation, and the working range of the volumes of blood samples needs to be established.

Molecular Genetic Techniques for Gene Expression Analysis of Small-Volume Blood Samples

The accuracy and reliability of gene expression analysis largely hinges on the suitability of the methods used for the analysis of samples of different analytical volumes.

RNA- or cDNA-microarrays are currently considered the best method for the large-scale (for example, the whole genome) experiments. The general principle is the hybridization of fluorescently labeled target molecules with oligonucleotide probes on the surface of the sensor [7, 8]. However, it was observed that microarray technology has a strict limitation of the working range of the template concentration in a reaction mixture. Moreover, Burgarner demonstrated that at low concentrations the equilibrium favors the absence of binding, which can lead to false-positive or false-negative test results [8].

The novel, highly efficient approach, such as RNA-sequencing, uses next-generation sequencing capabilities to detect and quantify a target

RNA [4, 10, 33]. However, it still requires further optimization due to technical difficulty, expense, reproducibility during mass production, and the absence of standardized protocols compared to other analysis methods [9, 10, 34, 35].

Currently, RT-qPCR remains the golden standard for quick, cheap, and simple gene expression analysis [7, 8]. Generally, in studies of new systems or drug targets, the key genes are identified by cDNA-microarray screening and then studied in more detail by more precise qPCR [5, 25, 36]. In addition, qPCR requires smaller amounts of mRNA samples and reagents and a shorter time for analysis [5, 6]. For example, Krawiec *et al.* used 50 μL of mouse whole blood to perform RT-qPCR and showed the expected dynamic and dose-dependent changes in the gene encoding heme oxygenase 1 in mice injected subcutaneously with CoPP, an inducer of heme oxygenase 1 in rodents [14]. In the study by Carrol *et al.* 300 μL of whole blood from pediatric patients was successfully analyzed using RT-qPCR [20]. The expression of genes encoding intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and interleukin 6 is increased in cases of invasive pneumococcal disease (such as meningitis and pneumonia) compared to healthy controls, and also is increased in survivors compared to non-survivors [20]. Thus RT-qPCR is a promising molecular genetic technique for gene expression analysis of small-volume blood samples.

Gene Expression Analysis in Small-Volume Blood Samples by RT-qPCR

The workflow of RT-qPCR consists of: cDNA synthesis from total RNA or mRNA samples using reverse transcriptase and cDNA amplification using thermostable DNA polymerase with real-time registration of the fluorescence signal [5, 37–40].

To the best of our knowledge no commonly preferred variations in RT-qPCR workflow or reaction mixture preparation are reported to optimize reverse transcription and qPCR protocols for small-volume blood samples. Although using the enzymes with high capacity, reduced RNase H ac-

tivity (for reverse transcriptase), and increased thermostability can be advantageous for better performance in RNA samples of suboptimal concentration, purity, or integrity [14, 17, 41].

Both non-specific detection (e.g., SYBR Green [19] and EvaGreen [17, 21] dyes) and specific detection (via fluorescently labeled oligonucleotides, i.e. primers-probes, probes that emit fluorescent light during hydrolysis or hybridization with target DNA, and nucleic acids analogs [37, 40, 42–44]) [14, 20, 45] are used interchangeably in the studies of small-volume blood samples. However, due to the fluorescence of both amplified target DNA and reaction products, non-specific detection requires additional steps (e.g., melting curve analysis) to characterize the homogeneity of the amplicons [37, 42].

Due to the high sensitivity of modern qPCR assays and the inevitable presence of stochastic errors, the data normalization is required to remove the technical bias and unwanted variation. Using “housekeeping” genes, expressed at a relatively constant level, provides endogenous controls for effective RT-qPCR [46]. Carrol *et al.* studied 7 different housekeeping genes (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*), β 2 microglobulin, actin beta, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase, 60S ribosomal protein L14, succinate dehydrogenase complex flavoprotein subunit A, tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein zeta, and ribosomal protein L32) in 300 μL of blood and reported consistent expression level for all included assays [20]. These findings confirm that the analysis of small-volume blood samples can produce the reliable gene expression data across small-volume samples.

The study by Fricano *et al.* comparing the results of gene expression analysis of 9 high, mid, and low abundance genes (transcription factor 7, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 6, neuropilin 1, delta-aminolevulinic synthase 2, flavin adenine dinucleotide synthetase 1, calnexin, S100 calcium-binding protein A9, tumor necrosis factor, and interleukin-1 beta) during lipopolysac-

charide-induced acute immune response in rats obtained by RT-PCR and microarray analysis confirmed differences in the absolute fold change but the same trend across all abundance levels [24].

Overall, RT-qPCR presents a conventional method to detect the dynamic changes in gene expression patterns providing a versatile platform for small-volume blood processing.

Capillary Blood Gene Expression Analysis

Capillary blood testing is gaining attention as a minimally invasive alternative to venous blood sampling, particularly for point-of-care testing [47] and remote patient monitoring [48]. This method offers several advantages, including lower cost, less invasive, quick and easy procedure that doesn't require many technical skills, and increased capacity for repeated sampling, making it suitable for resource-limited settings and at-home testing [47–49]. Capillary blood sampling is commonly used for different analytical tests (i.e., biochemistry and hematology tests, such as glucose level, hemoglobin, and platelet count monitoring [50], infectious disease diagnostics [51], athlete biological passport [52], *etc.*) with results comparable to those from venous blood.

Physiologically, capillary blood differs from venous blood, reflecting their distinct roles in the circulatory system [53]. Capillary blood has different levels of proteins, enzymatic activity, electrolytes, and changes after glucose intake [49, 54–56]. Schalk *et al.* found a significant increase in leukocytes (3.5%) and red blood cell (2.2%) counts in capillary (fingerstick) blood samples compared to venous blood samples [57], which can contribute to altered gene expression depending on the sampling technique reported in several studies [16, 19]. Moreover, the age and medical condition of the subjects had a detectable influence on the differences between capillary and venous leukocyte values [57, 58].

A study by Robison *et al.* in 2009 comparing RNA isolated from blood samples from 70 μL of fingerstick and 2.5 mL venipuncture of samples

registered the lowered synthesis of cDNA from RNA isolated from fingerstick blood (7.0 μg of cDNA from 50 ng of total RNA compared to 8.6 μg of cDNA in venipuncture sample) when the RNA quality assessed by spectrophotometry was identical [16]. Using GeneChip data Robison *et al.* found slightly higher average present calls, the percent of gene sequences on a given GeneChip called as present, for the venipuncture samples (56% compared to 52.5% for the capillary samples) [16]. Moreover, the fingerstick samples had higher 3'/5' ratios for *GAPDH* (8.7 compared to 2.8 for the venipuncture samples) and β -Actin (29.4 compared to 1.8 for the venipuncture samples) ratios on average [16], which verified the isolated RNA to be more susceptible to degradation [59]. Wehmeier and Hilberd in 2019 theorized further and suggested that some RNA degradation comes from the exposure of the capillary blood to oxygen before its transfer to the capillaries [19].

Furthermore, when comparing the expression values in smaller volumes to traditional ones, several studies found some differences [16, 19]. For example, studying the expression of the genes encoding the creatine kinases, cluster of differentiation 36 and the arginases 1 and 2 in capillary (20 μL) and venous (<200 μL) blood, Wehmeier and Hilberg observed lower ΔC_t values (C_t of the endogenous control *GAPDH* is deducted) of arginase 1 (3.55 in venous blood and 4.19 in capillary blood) and cluster of differentiation 36 (2.31 and 4.2, respectively) in venous blood and higher expression values of creatine kinases (1.11 and 0.13, respectively) compared to the capillary blood while arginase 2 remained identical (5.9 and 5.88, respectively) [19]. Whether these differences are based on a variable composition of blood cells in reduced-volume blood probes remains unclear. Overall, the shown differences were relatively weak and the data obtained matched well considering the analysis was done on relatively low-expressed targets (C_t values >30) [16, 19].

Therefore, the physiological features of capillary blood and the effect of microsampling on RNA isolation should be considered at the planning stage of

gene expression research. The detected changes in gene expression in capillary blood cannot be extrapolated to venous blood and vice versa.

Conclusions

Small-volume blood sampling is a commercially attractive method of collecting biological material, offering a promising basis to develop PCR assays for testing capillary or serial blood samples.

This review highlights the intricate nature of small-volume blood sample processing, underscoring the importance of the optimization of RNA extraction to evaluate the disease-associated genes.

While there are challenges associated with a limited number of mRNAs in the low-volume

samples, resulting in misleading shifts of estimated mRNA levels, the use of appropriate strategies can produce isolated RNA of high purity and integrity and subsequent accurate and reproducible quantification data using RT-qPCR.

The physiological characteristics of capillary blood and the risks associated with sample collection and RNA isolation must be considered when determining the disease-associated genes in a patient's capillary blood.

Acknowledgements. We express our gratitude to the Head of the Laboratory of Innovative Biotechnologies of the IMBG of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Zenovii Tkachuk, for his help and valuable advice in writing this article.

REFERENCES

1. Ramilo O, Allman W, Chung W, et al., and Chaussabel D. Gene expression patterns in blood leukocytes discriminate patients with acute infections. *Blood*. 2007; **109**(5):2066—77.
2. Palmer C, Diehn M, Alizadeh AA, Brown PO. Cell-type specific gene expression profiles of leukocytes in human peripheral blood. *BMC Genomics*. 2006; **7**:115.
3. Gerashchenko GV, Vagina IM, Vagin YuV, et al., and Kashuba VI. Expression pattern of immune- and cancer-associated genes in peripheral blood of mice bearing melanoma cells. *Biopolym Cell*. 2019; **35**(4):313—20.
4. Brentani RR, Carraro DM, Verjovski-Almeida S, et al., and Reis LF. Gene expression arrays in cancer research: methods and applications. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2005; **54**(2):95—105.
5. Rajeevan MS, Vernon SD, Taysavang N, Unger ER. Validation of array-based gene expression profiles by real-time (kinetic) RT-PCR. *J Mol Diagn*. 2001; **3**(1):26—31.
6. Ma J, Tran G, Wan AMD, et al., and Zandstra PW. Microdroplet-based one-step RT-PCR for ultrahigh throughput single-cell multiplex gene expression analysis and rare cell detection. *Sci Rep*. 2021; **11**(1):6777.
7. VanGuilder HD, Vrana KE, Freeman WM. Twenty-five years of quantitative PCR for gene expression analysis. *Biotechniques*. 2008; **44**(5):619—26.
8. Bumgarner R. Overview of DNA microarrays: types, applications, and their future. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol*. 2013; **101**:22.1.1—22.1.11.
9. Fryer RM, Randall J, Yoshida T, et al., and Gullans SR. Global analysis of gene expression: methods, interpretation, and pitfalls. *Exp Nephrol*. 2002; **10**(2):64—74.
10. Kalikiri MKR, Manjunath HS, Vempalli FR, et al., and Tomei S. Technical assessment of different extraction methods and transcriptome profiling of RNA isolated from small volumes of blood. *Sci Rep*. 2023; **13**(1):3598.
11. Knitza J, Tascilar K, Vuillerme N, et al., and Simon D. Accuracy and tolerability of self-sampling of capillary blood for analysis of inflammation and autoantibodies in rheumatoid arthritis patients—results from a randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis Res Ther*. 2022; **24**(1):125.
12. Zwart TC, Metscher E, van der Boog PJM, et al., and Moes DJAR. Volumetric microsampling for simultaneous remote immunosuppressant and kidney function monitoring in outpatient kidney transplant recipients. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2022; **88**(11):4854—69.
13. Brandsma J, Chenoweth JG, Gregory MK, et al., and Clark DV. Assessing the use of a micro-sampling device for measuring blood protein levels in healthy subjects and COVID-19 patients. *PLoS One*. 2022; **17**(8):e0272572.

14. Krawiec JA, Chen H, Alom-Ruiz S, Jaye M. Modified PAXgene method allows for isolation of high-integrity total RNA from microlitre volumes of mouse whole blood. *Lab Anim.* 2009; **43**(4):394—8.
15. Rinchai D, Anguiano E, Nguyen P, Chaussabel D. Finger stick blood collection for gene expression profiling and storage of tempus blood RNA tubes. *F1000Res.* 2016; **5**:1385.
16. Robison EH, Mondala TS, Williams AR, et al., and Kurian SM. Whole genome transcript profiling from fingerstick blood samples: a comparison and feasibility study. *BMC Genomics.* 2009; **10**:617.
17. Speake C, Whalen E, Gersuk VH, et al., and Greenbaum CJ. Longitudinal monitoring of gene expression in ultra-low-volume blood samples self-collected at home. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2017; **188**(2):226—33.
18. Syed Ahamed Kabeer B, Tomei S, Mattei V, et al., and Chaussabel D. A protocol for extraction of total RNA from finger stick whole blood samples preserved with Tempus™ solution. *F1000Res.* 2018; **7**:1739.
19. Wehmeier UF, Hilberg T. Capillary earlobe blood may be used for RNA isolation, gene expression assays and micro-RNA quantification. *Mol Med Rep.* 2014; **9**(1):211—6.
20. Carrol ED, Salway F, Pepper SD, et al., and Day P. Successful downstream application of the Paxgene Blood RNA system from small blood samples in paediatric patients for quantitative PCR analysis. *BMC Immunol.* 2007; **8**:20.
21. Mathew R, Toufiq M, Mattei V, et al., and Tomei S. Influence of storage conditions of small volumes of blood on immune transcriptomic profiles. *BMC Res Notes.* 2020; **13**(1):150.
22. Farivar S, Ghazimoradi MH. DNA and RNA extraction from low amount of blood volume. *Forensic Sci Int.* 2019; **303**:109931.
23. Toni LS, Garcia AM, Jeffrey DA, et al., and Sucharov CC. Optimization of phenol-chloroform RNA extraction. *MethodsX.* 2018; **5**:599—608.
24. Fricano MM, Ditewig AC, Jung PM, et al., and Yang Y. Global transcriptomic profiling using small volumes of whole blood: a cost-effective method for translational genomic biomarker identification in small animals. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2011; **12**(4):2502—17.
25. Bustin SA, Nolan T. Pitfalls of quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction. *J Biomol Tech.* 2004; **15**(3):155—66.
26. Vermeulen J, De Preter K, Lefever S, et al., and Vandesompele J. Measurable impact of RNA quality on gene expression results from quantitative PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011; **39**(9):e63.
27. Hashemipetroudi SH, Nematzadeh G, Ahmadian G, et al., and Kuhlmann M. Assessment of DNA Contamination in RNA Samples Based on Ribosomal DNA. *J Vis Exp.* 2018; (131):55451.
28. Gautam A. DNA and RNA Isolation Techniques for Non-Experts. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2022. — 203 p.
29. Smale G, Sasse J. RNA isolation from cartilage using density gradient centrifugation in cesium trifluoroacetate: an RNA preparation technique effective in the presence of high proteoglycan content. *Anal Biochem.* 1992; **203**(2):352—6.
30. Meyer A, Paroni F, Günther K, et al., and Maedler K. Evaluation of Existing Methods for Human Blood mRNA Isolation and Analysis for Large Studies. *PLoS One.* 2016; **11**(8):e0161778.
31. Kube DM, Savci-Heijink CD, Lamblin AF, et al., and Klee GG. Optimization of laser capture microdissection and RNA amplification for gene expression profiling of prostate cancer. *BMC Mol Biol.* 2007; **8**:25.
32. Korneev KV. [Mouse Models of Sepsis and Septic Shock]. *Mol Biol (Mosk).* 2019; **53**(5):799—814.
33. Portelli C, Seria E, Attard R, et al., and Bezzina Wettinger S. Isolating high-quality RNA for RNA-Seq from 10-year-old blood samples. *Sci Rep.* 2024; **14**(1):30716.
34. Nazarov PV, Muller A, Kaoma T, et al., and Vallar L. RNA sequencing and transcriptome arrays analyses show opposing results for alternative splicing in patient derived samples. *BMC Genomics.* 2017; **18**(1):443.
35. Uellendahl-Werth F, Wolfien M, Franke A, et al., and Ellinghaus D. A benchmark of hemoglobin blocking during library preparation for mRNA-Sequencing of human blood samples. *Sci Rep.* 2020; **10**(1):5630.
36. Ståhlberg A, Håkansson J, Xian X, et al., and Kubista M. Properties of the reverse transcription reaction in mRNA quantification. *Clin Chem.* 2004; **50**(3):509—15.
37. Jalali M, Zaborowska J, Jalali M. Basic Science Methods for Clinical Researchers. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Academic Press, 2017. — 382 p.

38. Baynes J, Dominiczak M. Medical biochemistry. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier Health Sciences, 2022. — 744 p.
39. Sanders R, Mason DJ, Foy CA, Huggett JF. Considerations for accurate gene expression measurement by reverse transcription quantitative PCR when analysing clinical samples. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* 2014; **406**(26):6471—83.
40. Basu C. PCR Primer Design. Methods in molecular biology. Clifton, New Jersey: Humana Press, 2022. — 289 p.
41. Malboeuf CM, Isaacs SJ, Tran NH, Kim B. Thermal effects on reverse transcription: improvement of accuracy and processivity in cDNA synthesis. *Biotechniques.* 2001; **30**(5):1074—8, 1080, 1082.
42. Navarro E, Serrano-Heras G, Castaño MJ, Solera J. Real-time PCR detection chemistry. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2015; **439**:231—50.
43. Hawkins S, Guest P. Multiplex Biomarker Techniques. Methods in Molecular Biology. Clifton, New Jersey: Humana Press, 2017. — 332 p.
44. Peters IR, Helps CR, Hall EJ, Day MJ. Real-time RT-PCR: considerations for efficient and sensitive assay design. *J Immunol Methods.* 2004; **286**(1—2):203—17.
45. Buh Gasparic M, Cankar K, Zel J, Gruden K. Comparison of different real-time PCR chemistries and their suitability for detection and quantification of genetically modified organisms. *BMC Biotechnol.* 2008; **8**:26.
46. Bustin SA. Absolute quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. *J Mol Endocrinol.* 2000; **25**(2):169—93.
47. Krleza JL, Dorotic A, Grzunov A, Maradin M. Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. Capillary blood sampling: national recommendations on behalf of the Croatian Society of Medical Biochemistry and Laboratory Medicine. *Biochem Med (Zagreb).* 2015; **25**(3):335—58.
48. Fontaine E, Saez C. Capillary blood stability and analytical accuracy of 12 analytes stored in Microtainers. *Pract Lab Med.* 2023; **36**:e00325.
49. Wittbrodt MT, Espinoza S, Millard-Stafford ML. Biological variation of plasma osmolality obtained with capillary versus venous blood. *Clin Chem Lab Med.* 2015; **53**(10):1613—9.
50. Maroto-García J, Deza S, Fuentes-Bullejos P, et al., and González Á. Analysis of common biomarkers in capillary blood in routine clinical laboratory. Preanalytical and analytical comparison with venous blood. *Diagnosis (Berl).* 2023; **10**(3):281—97.
51. Gorret AM, Muhindo R, Baguma E, et al., and Boyce RM. Comparison of Capillary Versus Venous Blood for the Diagnosis of Plasmodium falciparum Malaria Using Rapid Diagnostic Tests. *J Infect Dis.* 2021; **224**(1):109—113.
52. Goodrum JM, Lewis LA, Fedoruk MN, et al., and Miller GD. Feasibility of microvolumetric capillary whole blood collections for usage in Athlete Biological Passport analysis. *Drug Test Anal.* 2022; **14**(7):1291—9.
53. Hall J. Guyton and Hall Textbook of Medical Physiology. 13th ed. London, England: W B Saunders, 2024. — 1168 p.
54. Kupke IR, Kather B, Zeugner S. On the composition of capillary and venous blood serum. *Clin Chim Acta.* 1981; **112**(2):177—85.
55. Wurzinger S, Bratu M, Wonisch W, et al., and Porta S. Interdependency of the oxidizability of lipoproteins and peroxidase activity with base excess, HCO₃, pH and magnesium in human venous and capillary blood. *Life Sci.* 2006; **78**(15):1754—9.
56. Colagiuri S, Sandbaek A, Carstensen B, et al., and Borch-Johnsen K. Comparability of venous and capillary glucose measurements in blood. *Diabet Med.* 2003; **20**(11):953—6.
57. Schalk E, Heim MU, Koenigsmann M, Jentsch-Ullrich K. Use of capillary blood count parameters in adults. *Vox Sang.* 2007; **93**(4):348—53.
58. Daae LN, Hallerud M, Halvorsen S. A comparison between haematological parameters in ‘capillary’ and venous blood samples from hospitalized children aged 3 months to 14 years. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 1991; **51**(7):651—4.
59. Die JV, Obrero Á, González-Verdejo CI, Román B. Characterization of the 3':5' ratio for reliable determination of RNA quality. *Anal Biochem.* 2011; **419**(2):336—8.

Received 30.01.2025

О.Д. Глазунова^{1,2}, Н.С. Мельнічук¹

¹ Інститут молекулярної біології і генетики НАН України
вул. Академіка Заболотного, 150, Київ, Україна, 03143

² ННЦ «Інститут біології та медицини»,

Київський національний університет імені Тараса Шевченка
просп. Академіка Глушкова, 2, Київ, Україна, 03022

АСПЕКТИ АНАЛІЗУ ЕКСПРЕСІЇ ГЕНІВ У ЗРАЗКАХ МАЛИХ ОБ'ЄМІВ КРОВІ МЕТОДОМ ПЛР В РЕАЛЬНОМУ ЧАСІ

Профілювання експресії генів лейкоцитів периферичної крові є важливим методом оцінки генів, пов'язаних із захворюваннями та реакцією на лікування. Зразки малих об'ємів крові можна використовувати для аналізу експресії генів під час пошуку біомаркерів, у доклінічних випробуваннях лікарських препаратів на тваринах, неонатальних і педіатричних дослідженнях та терапевтичному лікарському моніторингу. Однак зменшена кількість РНК та унікальні процедури обробки зразків малого об'єму ускладнюють аналіз експресії генів за допомогою полімеразної ланцюгової реакції зі зворотної транскрипції в реальному часі. У цій статті ми систематизуємо та порівнюємо методи виділення РНК і молекулярно-генетичні підходи для визначення оптимізованих стратегій аналізу експресії генів у зразках малих об'ємів крові та обговорюємо дослідження експресії генів у зразках капілярної крові.

Ключові слова: зразок малого об'єму крові, ЗТ-ПЛР у реальному часі, експресія генів, капілярна кров.